

China-Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC): A link between geoeconomics and geopolitics in South Asia

Mohammadreza Hafeznia¹, John Agnew², Mohammad Reza Malekshahi¹, Mohammad Shahab Ahmadi¹, Mariah Furtek²

- 1. Department of Political Geography, Faculty of Humanities, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran.
- 2. Department of Geography, UCLA, Los Angeles CA USA.

Article Info	Abstract
Original Article	The China-Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC) is one of the key
Main Object: Interdisciplinary Scope: China & Pakistan Received: 07 July 2025	projects of the Belt and Road Initiative (BRI), playing a strategic role in connecting China to the warm waters of the Indian Ocean. This corridor not only has economic implications for both countries, but also encompasses broad geopolitical, security, and social dimensions. Using qualitative methods and document content analysis, this article analyzes the CPEC project within the
Revised: 29 July 2025	framework of geo-economic and geopolitical concepts,
Accepted: 03 August 2025	demonstrating how it has transformed from an economic scheme
Published online: 10 August 2025	into a geopolitical tool serving China's strategic interests in South Asia. Pakistan's financial dependence on China, infrastructure development in disputed areas, and the prominent presence of
Keywords:	Chinese security companies on Pakistani soil are among the
China,	manifestations of this trend. Furthermore, the article's analysis indicates that Pakistan's weak national identity and the reactions
corridor,	of regional rivals like India have created significant challenges in
geoeconomics, geopolitics,	achieving CPEC's initial objectives.
Pakietan	

Cite this article: Hafeznia M, Agnew J, Malekshahi MR, Ahmadi MS, Furtek M. (2026). "China-Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC): A link between geoeconomics and geopolitics in South Asia". *Countries Studies*. 4(1): 7-14. doi: https://doi.org/10.22059/jcountst.2025.398142.1316.



Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Website: https://jcountst.ut.ac.ir/ | Email: jcountst@ut.ac.ir |

EISSN: 2980-9193

Publisher: University of Tehran

Corresponding author:

<u>m.malekshahi@modares.ac.ir</u>,

<u>https://orcid.org/0009-</u>0006-4985-0462

Extended Abstract Background

The China–Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC), as a flagship project under the Belt and Road Initiative (BRI), holds a pivotal role in redefining the geostrategic order of South Asia. Stretching from Xinjiang in western China to the port city of Gwadar in Pakistan, CPEC is often presented as an economic and infrastructural megaproject aiming to facilitate regional connectivity, enhance trade routes, and ensure China's access to warm waters.

However, beyond its economic façade, CPEC represents a multidimensional strategy through which China seeks to project power, deepen influence, and institutionalize spatial dominance across key contested and peripheral regions of Pakistan.

Aim

This study aims to analyze the intersection of geoeconomic motives and geopolitical calculations embedded in the CPEC initiative and to critically explore its implications for Pakistan's sovereignty and regional balance.

Methodology

This research adopts a qualitative methodology and applies a descriptive—analytical approach. Data has been collected from a wide range of secondary sources, including scholarly articles, institutional reports, government documents, and verified field analyses. The research focuses on the structural interpretation of CPEC developments and their alignment with the theoretical foundations of geopolitics and geoeconomics.

Findings

The findings reveal that CPEC has created a complex and overlapping power structure in Pakistan, with significant consequences in two primary dimensions.

1. Geopolitical dimension; China's spatial domination over strategic zones of Pakistan

China has expanded its de facto sovereignty over critical regions such as Gwadar and Gilgit-Baltistan through long-term lease agreements, military presence, and infrastructural development in disputed territories. The deployment of Chinese private security firms, control over mobility in Gwadar, and the construction of Chinese-only residential enclaves indicate a deepening spatial reconfiguration that enhances China's strategic leverage. These changes have fueled internal dissent, particularly among ethnic Baloch communities, and intensified tensions with India, which views Chinese activities in Gilgit-Baltistan as a breach of its territorial claims.

CPEC is also a vehicle for China to externalize its excess industrial capacity, promote RMB internationalization, and secure critical resources— particularly freshwater from Gilgit-Baltistan, vital for semiconductor production. The influx of Chinese loans, control over Special Economic Zones (SEZs), and the terms of infrastructural

2. Geoeconomic dimension; Pakistan's structural dependence on China

investments have entrenched an economic model in which Pakistan's fiscal autonomy is constrained. China's vertical integration of production chains and exploitation of natural resources under the guise of development cooperation have resulted in asymmetric economic dependencies.

Conclusion

CPEC should not be merely viewed as a development project. Rather, it reflects a sophisticated integration of geoeconomic instruments into a grand geopolitical strategy. China's long-term goal appears to be the consolidation of regional hegemony by reshaping territorial control, economic sovereignty, and maritime access through infrastructural and financial entrenchment in Pakistan.

The corridor has enabled China to establish semi-sovereign operational zones within Pakistan, thus contributing to layered and nested sovereignties that weaken Pakistan's unitary authority. Internally, this model has intensified social, ethnic, and sectarian divisions, particularly in restive provinces like Balochistan. Externally, it has provoked counter-reactions from India and increased regional polarization.

In essence, CPEC is not only transforming the physical and economic geography of Pakistan but is also altering the geopolitical logic of South Asia. It symbolizes a shift toward a China-centered regional order that leverages infrastructure as a tool of spatial domination, economic capture, and strategic encirclement.

Conflict of interest

The authors declared no conflicts of interest.

Authors' contributions

All authors contributed to the original idea, study design.

Ethical considerations

The authors have completely considered ethical issues, including informed consent, plagiarism, data fabrication, misconduct, and/or falsification, double publication and/or redundancy, submission, etc. This article was not authored by artificial intelligence.

Data availability

The dataset generated and analyzed during the current study is available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

References

- Aamir A. (2018a). "Religious violence jeopardises China's investment in Pakistan". *Financial Times*. July 25. https://www.ft.com/content/8810e618-9020-11e8-bb8f-a6a2f7bca546.
- Aamir A. (2018b). "China's Belt and Road plans dismay Pakistan's Poorest Province". Financial Times. June 14. www.ft.com/content/c4b78fe0-5399-11e8-84f4-43d65af59d43.
- Abedini M, Ghorbani-Nezhad R, Farajirad A, Akhbari M. (2022). "Strategic and geopolitical competition of the China Sea One Belt Road Project in the Indian Ocean". *Oceanography*. 13(49): 92-107. http://dx.doi.org/10.52547/joc.%E2%80%8E13.49.92. [in Persian]
- Abi-habib M. (2018). "How China got Sri Lanka to cough up a port". *The New York Times*. June 25. www.nytimes.com/2018/06/25/world/asia/china-sri-lanka-port.html.
- Ahmad F, Gill AT, Hussian Z, Ismail M. (2024). "Pakistan-China relations in the 21st century: Political and economic cooperation through the perspective of CPEC". Policy Research Journal. 2(4): 1620. https://policyresearchjournal.com/index.php/1/article/view/205.
- Aman A, Yaseen Z. (2025). "Pakistan-China strategic partnership in 21st century: The impacts on regional politics". *Journal of Social Sciences Development*. 4(1): 76-91. https://doi.org/10.53664/JSSD/04-01-2025-07-76-91.
- AP. (2019). "Pakistani officials claim women trafficked into prostitution in China after marriage". CBS News. June 17. www.cbsnews.com/news/human-trafficking-pakistani-officials -claim-pakistan-women-married-men-in-china-sold-into-prostitution/.
- Ashrafi HR, Sheikholeslami MH. (2023). "The approach of the United States towards the Belt and Road Initiative in light of the U.S. Grand Strategy towards China (2013-2020)". *Countries Studies*. 1(1): 131-160. https://doi.org/10.22059/jcountst.2023.91657. [in Persian]
- Baloch S. (2019). "The Pakistani brides being trafficked to China". *BBC News*. May 15. www.bbc.com/news/world-asia-48260397.
- Barboza D. (2008). "China unveils \$586 billion stimulus plan". *The New York Times*. 10 November. www.nytimes.com/2008/11/10/world/asia/10iht-10china.17673270.html.
- Bhat V. (2019). "Pakistan & China are building an SEZ in PoK's Gilgit-Baltistan, satellite images show". *ThePrint*. August 27. https://theprint.in/world/pakistan-china-are-building-an-sez-in-poks-gilgit-baltistan-satellite-images-show/282413/.
- ----- (2018). "Fresh provocation: China's building a 36-km long road in strategic J&K Valley near Siachen". January 15. https://www.strategicfront.org/forums/threads/fresh-provocation-chinas-building-a-36-km-long-road-in-strategic-j-k-valley-near-siachen.800/.

 Bhatti J. (2018). "Feature: Thirsty Gwadar enjoys sustainable, sweet desalted
- Bhatti J. (2018). "Feature: Thirsty Gwadar enjoys sustainable, sweet desalted seawater". *Asia&Pacific Edition*. July 13. http://www.xinhuanet.com/english/2018-07/13/c_137321878.htm.
- Blackwell T. (2019). "Pakistan deploys special forces for CPEC protection". *The National Post*.
- Blackwill RD, Harris JM. (2016). War by Other Means: Geoeconomics and Statecraft. Harvard University Press. https://doi.org/10.4159/9780674545960.
- Brecher M. (2017). A Century of Crisis and Conflict in the International System:

- Theory and Evidence: Intellectual Odyssey III. Springer.
- Cardoso FH, Faletto E. (1979). *Dependency and development in Latin America*. M. Urquidi (Trans.). University of California Press.
- CEIC. (2020). "China reserve requirement ratio [1985 2020]". 1 February.
- www.ceicdata.com/en/indicator/china/reserve-requirement-ratio.
- China Central Bank. (2020). "Injects \$58 billion of loans but keeps rates steady". *Thomson Reuters*. 20 January. <a href="www.reuters.com/article/us-china-economy-mlf/china-central-bank-injects-58-billion-of-loans-but-keeps-rates-steady-idUSKBN1ZE05E"." www.reuters.com/article/us-china-economy-mlf/china-central-bank-injects-58-billion-of-loans-but-keeps-rates-steady-idUSKBN1ZE05E.
- China Foreign Exchange Reserves. (2025). *Trading Economics*. https://tradingeconomics.com/china/foreign-exchange-reserves.
- Clemente J. (2019). "China is the world's largest oil & gas importer". *Forbes*. October 17. https://www.forbes.com/sites/judeclemente/2019/10/17/china-is-the-worlds-%20largest-oil--gas-importer/#1fa54a335441.
- Cooley A, Spruyt H. (2009). *Contracting States: Sovereign Transfers in International Relations*. Princeton University Press.
- Corbridge S. (1993). *Debt and Development*. The Royal Geographical Society with the Institute of British Geographers Studies in Geography.
- CPEC. (2020). "Development of port and free zone". https://cpec.gov.pk/project-details/36.
- Devji F. (2013). *Muslim Zion: Pakistan as a Political Idea*. Harvard University Press. Dubnov A, Robson L. (ed.) (2019). *Partitions: A Transnational History of Twentieth-Century Territorial Separatism*. Stanford University Press.
- Dutta PK. (2019). "Kashmir: How line of control has changed in 70 years". August 20. https://www.indiatoday.in/news-analysis/story/kashmir-how-line-of-control-has-changed-in-70-years-1579118-2019-08-09.
- Ebrahim Z. (2017). "What's happening at Pakistan's Gwadar port?". *Dialogue Earth*. June 6. https://dialogue.earth/en/ocean/3215-pakistan-gwadar-port/.
- EFAS. (n.d.). "China Pakistan Economic Corridor and Jammu & Kashmir". https://www.efsas.org/publications/study-papers/china-pakistan-economic-corridor-and-jammu-and-kashmir/.
- Faryadras A, Maleki A. (2023). "Energy security, world system, and Jervis' spiral security dilemma; Case of Russo-Ukraine War". *Countries Studies*. 1(4): 515-556. https://doi.org/10.22059/jcountst.2023.359034.1032. [in Persian]
- Financial Times. (2018). "Pakistan Puts a Spotlight on China's Opaque Loans". www.ft.com/content/3e6f9ee8-9578-11e8-b67b-b8205561c3fe.
- Findlay S, Bokhari F. (2019). "Imran Khan swallows' pride to clinch toughest IMF bailout yet". *Financial Times*. 15 May. https://www.ft.com/content/10318f10-7677-11e9-bbad-7c18c0ea0201.
- Flint C. (2011). An Introduction to Geopolitics. 2nd ed. Routledge.
- Furtek MR. (2019). Tracing the Evolution of CPEC from an Economic Initiative into a Geopolitical Project. Honors thesis, University of California, Los Angeles.
- Garlick J. (2018). "Deconstructing the China-Pakistan economic corridor: Pipe dreams versus geopolitical realities". *Journal of Contemporary China*. 27(112): 519-533. https://doi.org.10.1080/10670564.2018.1433483.
- Ghadari Hajjat M, Ahmad Duzdah Imami F. (2024). "Geopolitical foundations of U.S.-China competition in the Asia-Pacific". *Global Politics*. 13(2): 53-81. https://doi.org/10.22124/wp.2024.26081.3281. [in Persian]
- Reuters. (2019). "Global Watchdog Keeps Pakistan on Terrorism Financing 'Gray List". October 18. www.reuters.com/article/us-fatf-pakistan/ global-watchdog-keeps-pakistan-on-terrorism-financing-gray-list-idUSKBN1WX16K.
- Gray CS. (1998). The Geopolitics of Superpower. University Press of Kentucky.
- Grieger G. (2016). One Belt, One Road (OBOR): China's Regional Integration Initiative. EPRS (European Parliamentary Research Service, No. PE 586.608.

- European Parlement Briefing.
- Hafeznia MR. (2001). "Geopolutics: A new definition". *Geographical Research*. 15(4-3 (58-59)): 71-88. https://sid.ir/paper/29768/fa. [in Persian]
- Haider M. (2019). "Gwadar free zone: China assured 23 years sales tax, other exemptions". *The News International*. 16 November. https://www.thenews.com.pk/print/570069-gwadar-free-zone-china-assured-23-years-sales-tax-other-exemptions.
- Holden L. (2019). "Law, governance, and culture in Gilgit-Baltistan: Introduction". South Asian History and Culture. 10(1): 1-13. https://doi.org/10.1080/19472498.2019.1576300.
- Howell L. (2009). "Semiconductor manufacturing plants can use as much water as a small city". *IEEE Spectrum: Technology, Engineering, and Science News*. August 31. https://spectrum.ieee.org/podcast/semiconductors/design/semicondutor%20-manufacturing-plants-can-use-as-much-water-as-a-small-city.
- Hussain M. (2021). "CPEC and geo-security behind geo-economics: China's master stroke to counter terrorism and energy security dilemma". *East Asia*. 38(4): 313-332. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s12140-021-09364-z.
- IMI: International Monetary Institute. (2016). *Internationalization of the RMB:* Establishment and Development of RMB Offshore Markets. Routledge.
- Jorgic D. (2017). "Pakistan eyes 2018 start for China-funded mega dam opposed by India". Thomson Reuters. June 13. www.reuters.com/article/us-china-silkroadpakistan-dam/pak istan-eyes-2018-start-for-china-funded-mega-dam-opposedby-india-idUSKBN1941P9.
- Kaplan RD. (2012). The Revenge of Geography: What the Map Tells Us About Coming Conflicts and the Battle Against Fate. New York: Random House.
- Khalid R. (2018). Strategy for Developing an Effective SEZ in Gilgit-Baltistan. Centre of Excellence for CPEC in Islamabad. https://cpec-centre.pk/wp-content/uploads/2018/06/Merged-Document-0606004904.pdf.
- Khan F. (2018). "Five more Chinese held in Karachi for ATM fraud". *The Express Tribune*. January 14. https://tribune.com.pk/story/1608487/1-another-chinese%20-national-arrested-atm-skimming-karachi.
- Khan MNA, Bukhari SMH. (2024). "China-Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC): Benefits and Challenges for Pakistan". *Journal of Development and Social Sciences*. 5(2): 19-32. https://doi.org/10.47205/jdss.2024(5-II)03.
- Léautier FA, Schaefer M, Shen W. (2015). "Part I: China's new Maritime Silk Road: An opportunity for the revival of Africa?". UONGOZI Institute. https://www.uongozi.go.tz/sw/news/part-i-chinas-new-maritime-silk-road-an-opportunity-for-the-revival-of-africa/.
- Lee A. (2019). "China now accounts for some 15 per cent of overall global debt". South China Morning Post. 19 July. www.scmp.com/economy/china-economy/article/3018991/chinas-total-debt-rises-over-300-cent-gdp-beijing-loosens.
- Luttwak EN. (1990). "From geopolitics to geo-economics: Logic of conflict, grammar of commerce". *The National Interest*. Summer: 17-24. https://www.jstor.org/stable/42894676.
- Malhotra I, Raza M. (2019). *Kashmir's Untold Story: Declassified*. Bloomsbury Publishing.
- Mardell J. (2020). "The BRI in Pakistan: China's flagship economic corridor".

 *Merics. 20 May. https://www.merics.org/sites/default/files/2018-09/UpdateEconomic-Corridor_Web-150ppi.jpg.
- Moazzam M, Sajjad M. (2025). "Great power rivalry in Indo-Pacific: Impact on CPEC and functional operations of Gwadar". *Advance Social Science Archive Journal*. 3(02): 1332-1343. https://doi.org/10.55966/assaj.2025.3.2.022.
- Motaghi A, Emami N. (2023). "The string of pearls doctrine and India's counter strategies". *International Relations Research Quarterly*. 13(4): 85-116. https://doi.org/10.22034/irr.2023.398806.2383. [in Persian]

- Mourdoukoutas P. (2019). "India is changing the game for China and Pakistan in Kashmir". Forbes. September 12. www.forbes.com/sites/panosmourdoukoutas/2019/09/12/india-is-changing-the-game-for-china-and-pakistan-in-kashmir/#797de66e54b9.
- Neelakantan S. (2018). "China offers to build dam in Gilgit-Baltistan that ADB, World Bank refused to fund". *The Economic Times*. July 12. https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/news/defence/china-offers-to-build-dam-in-gilgit-baltistan-that-adb-world-bank-refused-to-fund/articleshow/59234178.cms?from=mdr.
- Noorali H, Ahmadi SA. (2022). "Analyzing Iran's geopolitical role in international corridors and presenting the model 'Iran, the Corridor Heartland of the World'". *Human Geography Research*. 54(3): 1187-1161. https://doi.org/10.22059/jhgr.2022.331764.1008388. [in Persian]
- Notezai M. (2019). "Can Pakistan protect CPEC?". *The Diplomat*. May 20. https://thediplomat.com/2019/05/can-pakistan-protect-cpec/.
- Ordonez V. (2019). "Erik Prince's Company plans business in China province under Human Rights Scrutiny according to financial disclosure". *ABC News*. October 9. https://abcnews.go.com/International/erik-princes-company-plans-business-china-province-human/story?id=66139535.
- Pakistan Ministry for Planning, Development and Reform. (2017). "Long term plan for China-Pakistan economic corridor". Government of Pakistan.
- PTI. (2019). "Angry Chinese boss arrested for pushing pakistani worker into Furnace". *Economic Times*. May 22. https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/world/pakistan/angry-chinese-boss-arrested-for-pushing-pakistani-worker-into-furnace/articleshow/69448749.cms.
- Rodrigue JP. (2020). The Geography of Transport Systems. Routledge.
- Sender H. (2018). "Pakistan rethinks its role in Xi's Belt and Road plan". *Financial Times*. September 09. https://www.ft.com/content/d4a3e7f8-b282-11e8-99ca-68cf89602132.
- Shah S. (2019). "Chinese workers thrash policemen in Khanewal". *DAWN*. January 25. www.dawn.com/news/1399531.
- Shane S. (2016). "Saudis and extremism: 'Both the Arsonists and the Firefighters". *The New York Times*. August 25. https://www.nytimes.com/2016/08/26/world/middleeast/saudi-arabia-islam.html.
- Sharma M. (2018). "Pakistan has external debt problem and its date with China is proving expensive". *Business Standard*. 23 May. https://www.business-standard.com/article/international/pak-has-external-debt-problem-anD-its-date-with-china-is-proving-expensive-118052300110_1.html.
- Siddique A. (2022). China–Pakistan Relations in the Twenty-First Century. Centre for Public Policy and Governance, Forman Christian College (A Chartered University). https://cppg.fccollege.edu.pk/publications/china-pakistan-relations-in-the-twenty-first-century/.
- Sin N, Galbraith A. (2019). Explainer: Behind the Climb in Chinese Companies' Defaults on Bond Payments. Thomson Reuters.
- Stevenson A. (2019). "China injects \$126 billion into its slowing economy". *The New York Times*. 6 September. www.nytimes.com/2019/09/06/business/chinaeconomy-reserve.html.
- Sum N. (2019). "The intertwined geopolitics and geoeconomics of hopes/fears: China's politics, triple economic bubbles and the 'One Belt One Road' imaginary". *Territory, Governance*. 7(4): 528-552. https://doi.org/10.1080/21622671.2018.1523746.
- The Times of India. (2018). "Why India is paying millions for world's emptiest airport". July 5. https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/india/why-is-india-paying-millions-for-worlds-emptiest-airport/articleshow/64867888.cms.
- Tingting D. (2017). "In China, the water you drink is as dangerous as the air you

breathe". The Guardian News and Media. June 2. www.theguardian.com/globaldevelopment-professionals-network/2017/jun/02/china-water-dangerouspollution-greenpeace.

"Pakistan Economics. (2019).Debt". Total External https://tradingeconomics.com/pakistan/external-debt.

Weinland D. (2019). "Corporate defaults in China surge in 2019 to record high \$18.6bn". Financial Times. 25 December. www.ft.com/content/068a83e0-27a7-11ea-9305-4234e74b0ef3. The World Bank. (2018). "Gross fixed capital formation (% of GDP)". Data Bank.

https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/NE.GDI.FTOT.ZS.